



April 30, 2007

Christopher Tararuj
Application No. 10/713,829
1151 Hughes Drive
Hamilton, NJ 08690

IFW

Sharon E. Kennedy
Primary Examiner Art Unit 1615
United States Department of Commerce
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Commissioner for Patents
PO Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Ms. Kennedy,

Thank you for your help in redrafting the claims; I have included a complete listing of all original and amended claims, with the status identifier of each, in ascending numerical order on the attached pages.

Please note that Claim # 16 (New) is a redraft of claim # 8 (Now withdrawn), utilizing the examiners suggestions. Claim 16 encompasses a paper to polystyrene construction. By utilizing paper as one of the layers, this embodiment potentially further reduces the cost associated with manufacturing the invention while taking advantage of the microcapsule adhesion to the polystyrene film as disclosed in the application.

Claim # 17 (New) is a redraft of Claim # 1 (Currently Amended). This embodiment seeks to encompass other microencapsulation methods which yield microcapsules of similar permeability to the claimed gelatin based microcapsules. As discussed in my original application, the most widely used microencapsulation methods are complex coacervation systems, these include gelatin and a polyanion such as Gum Arabic, polyphosphate, alginate, and carboxymethylcellulose. All of these methods yield microcapsules with varying degrees of permeability. Simple coacervation systems including simple coacervation of gelatin, cellulose acetate phthalate, Chitosan, soy glycinin and many other potential systems will also yield microcapsules with a relatively low crosslink density and a semi permeable microcapsule wall. United States Patent Number 4908233 describes a simple coacervation process. Still other encapsulation methods, which do not need to include gelatin are various wax, fats and ethylcellulose systems. Although I have not fully evaluated each of these systems for suitability with the invention, it would be obvious to one skilled in the art which of these non gelatin based microencapsulation systems would yield the desirable degree of microcapsule wall permeability for the intended use.

Please let me know if these revised claims meet the requirements for allowance.

Addressing the claim rejections – 35 USC § 102 :

Charbonneau, US 4,988,557 – The styrene – butadiene Charbonneau refers to (column 2, line 57 and 63) is a commonly used ingredient in adhesives and coatings. Charbonneau discloses styrene – butadiene as one of the components of the paper coating, which increases the gloss and whiteness of the paper stock. The styrene – butadiene acts as a binder to hold the pigments together and promotes adhesion to the paper, it is not a polystyrene and it does not promote adhesion of the microcapsules to the paper (in fact the capsules will adhere with greater tenacity to the uncoated paper). In column 3, lines 50-53, Charbonneau is relying on the adhesive to bond his capsules to the substrate whereas my disclosure relies on the capsule wall alone as a bonding mechanism to the polystyrene substrate.

The disclosed invention functions in much the same way as Charbonneau's in that the microcapsules are ruptured as the overlying plies are separated. Charbonneau is primarily focused on a paper substrate and relies on an adhesive to bond the capsules to the substrate "so that upon separation of the two surfaces said bonded microcapsules are ruptured" (claim 1). Charbonneau does not disclose a polystyrene surface to which the microcapsules adhere, in general polystyrene would be a poor choice as a substrate because most fragrances would deform and even dissolve the polystyrene. The disclosed invention takes advantage of polystyrene's physical properties to enhance the microcapsule wall while providing a means for microcapsule breakage without the need for adhesives which could and often do interfere with the fragrance fidelity.

Giannavola US 5,248,537 – The primary function of the styrene-acrylic coating in this invention (column 3, line 23) is as a vapor barrier to prevent the scent from prematurely escaping and contaminating the magazine. Giannavola discloses that an adhesive is necessary to construct the invention (in fact three adhesives are disclosed). Styrene-acrylic coatings provide barrier properties to contain the fragrance but do not promote adhesion of the microcapsules as does the use of polystyrene. It is surprising and unanticipated that polystyrene, which possesses poor barrier properties (it is a relatively poor water vapor and oxygen barrier and is very susceptible to attack by organic compounds commonly found in fragrance oils), would not only provide for adhesion of microcapsules without addition of adhesives but also would eliminate premature release or diffusion of fragrance.

Zolotarsky et al., US 6,723,671 – The polystyrene layer described in Zolotarsky (column 6, line 14) functions as a support for the article and does not actively come in contact with the microcapsules. Zolotarsky does not suggest depositing the microcapsules on polystyrene.

Paciorek et al., US 3,685,734 - Paciorek is suggesting utilizing styrene (column 2, line 51), which is an oily liquid, as plasticizer for vinyl chloride resins. Polystyrene is a polymer made from the liquid monomer styrene. Paciorek does not suggest utilizing polystyrene as a cover sheet because polystyrene does not inherently possess the required barrier properties.


Greenland US 5,782,060 – Greenland’s “inner polymeric thermoplastic sealant layer” (column 3, lines 8-9 and 15) is the coating applied to a substrate in order achieve a heat seal. A polystyrene coating in this application would be dissolved by any fragrance contained in the sampler and destroy the utility of the sampler. Column 3, lines 29-34 specify “polyethylene, polypropylene, EVA or blends or co-polymers thereof” as suitable sealant compositions. Please note that Greenland does not suggest utilizing polystyrene as a substrate that would come in contact with the fragrance.

Vernardakis et al., US 6,454,842 – The styrene maleic anhydride is intended as a microencapsulation aid and does not provide a substrate for the microcapsules.

Tsaur et al., US 5,246,603 – Tsaur does not include polystyrene as a suitable substrate.

I hope I have responded comprehensively to all of your questions, please let me know if you need any additional information.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Christopher Tararuj', written over the word 'Sincerely,'.

Christopher Tararuj